

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,224	07/24/2003	William O. Camp JR.	9314-45	4546
54414 7:	590 12/13/2006		EXAM	INER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. BOX 37428			YUN, EUGENE	
RALEIGH, NO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,224	CAMP, WILLIAM O.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eugene Yun	2618			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 HIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 S</u>	September 2006				
'==		s action is non-final.				
	,—					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-16,19-24 and 26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)[] (
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-16,19-24 and 26</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requirer	ment.			
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)□⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)_	·	·				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* \$0	application from the International Bureau e the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **				
36	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not received	u.			
Attachment(s	· ·	•	•			
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
_	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 12-16, 19, and 20, drawn to encoding voice using Enhanced Full Rate codec and Adaptive Multirate codec, classified in class 704, subclass 222.
 - II. Claims 9 and 21, drawn to selectively convolutionally encoding information, classified in class 375, subclass 341.
 - III. Claims 10 and 22, drawn to interleaving information over time, classified in class 455, subclass 231.
 - IV. Claims 11, 23, 24, and 26, drawn to embedding control data, classified in class 380, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III and IV are directed to related wireless terminals with short range and cellular capabilities. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed all diverge according to how the information is used and communicated. Furthermore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/626,224

Art Unit: 2618

inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

EY

MATTHEW ANDERSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER